



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,688	10/17/2001	Erkki Tanskanen	004770.00566 (NC28056)	6692
22907 7590 04/07/2008 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			EXAMINER BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L	
			ART UNIT 2179	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/07/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/981,688	Applicant(s) TANSKANEN ET AL.	
	Examiner X. L. Bautista	Art Unit 2179	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-27,37-40 and 49-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-27,37-40,49-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o).

Correction of the following is required: Applicant claims a “computer-readable medium” in claims 19-23 and 37-40, which is not defined in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 49, 50, 51, 52, 54 and 55 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what applicant means by “the step of recognizing and configuring, said first transmission and reception capabilities with the server are different than said second transmission and reception capabilities with the server” (claims 49, 51 and 54); and “the step of configuring, said time window comprises a betting window” (claims 50, 52 and 55). Correction or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 14-27, 37-40 and 49-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Odom et al (US 6,058,379) and Kikinis (US 2002/0049833).

Claims 14, 19 and 49-55:

Odom discloses a real-time interactive system and method for electronic exchange of goods and services via an electronic network. Odom teaches a bidding mechanism that may be used for sellers and buyers to raise or lower bids and offers (abstract; col. 1, lines 7-10). Odom shows (fig. 1) client server architecture. Odom illustrates (fig. 1) client connected to receive an interactive provider server and respond to signals based on real-time interactive content over a communications channel received from the interactive provider server (col. 3, lines 1-161; col. 4, lines 15-24). Odom teaches an interface page (web page; col. 3, lines 24-34; col. 5, lines 46-57; col. 9, lines 18-29) for providing information pertinent to the real-time interactive content to the client; the page configured to provide an adequate window to display information according to predetermined criteria (filter, predefined parameters; col. 2, lines 43-46; col. 3, lines 34-39; col. 4, lines 50-52, 66-67; col. 6, lines 32-40; col. 8, lines 66-67; col. 9, lines 1-8, 39-48; col. 10, lines 37-59; col. 12, lines 54-67; col. 13, lines 1-7).

Odom does not teach that the interactive content is configured according to the transmission and reception capabilities of the client. However, **Kikinis** discloses a system and

methods for providing data from data sources over the Internet to end users, with the data tailored to the needs of the end users (abstract; p. 1, par. 0002). Kikinis teaches a web browsing system that configures data to be transmitted to a client device from a web server. Kikinis explains that a list is created, which has parameters derived from the characteristics of the client, the characteristics of the web page and user's preferences; the parameters (including the user's preferences) are stored as a template at the web server; and that the web data is translated according to the template and transmitted to the client device (p. 2, par. 0013-0015; p. 5, par. 0060-0062). Kikinis teaches that the translation of the web page and its transmission is in accordance to the capabilities of the client device (p. 14, par. 0179-0180, 0183; p. 15, par. 0184-0187). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Kikinis' teaching of tailoring content according to transmission and reception capabilities in Odom's system for network exchange because as Kikinis says, as development of the Internet and other wide area network solutions continues, more and more devices are being provided for specific uses, incorporating computer elements and an ability to communicate with remote data sources; these different types of client devices being newly adapted to operate as computer peripherals, need new abilities for rapid transfer of applications and data, and a higher bandwidth for receiving/sending data from/to remote sources.

Odom/Kikinis teaches retrieving real-time interactive data from multiple sources and transmitting (configuring, formatting) the data according to the transmission and reception capabilities of the plurality of receiving client devices, and receiving the configured data so that it is displayed in an adequate window to respond to the real-time interactive content, and

transferring the real-time interactive content and other pertinent data to the plurality of client devices.

Claims 15 and 20:

Odom teaches that users are provided with current information (interactive content is retrieved and updated automatically), which is updated automatically (col. 3, lines 37-46; col. 5, lines 51-57; col. 6, lines 28-58).

Claims 16, 17, 21 and 22:

Odom teaches database 130 (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 5-12; col. 4, lines 37-44). Odom teaches that bid information processing may include a first level of filtering to determine if the bid meets predefined criteria or rates (col. 3, lines 32-36).

Claims 18 and 23:

See claim 16. Odom teaches interactive information that can be organized and shared among a plurality of users (abstract; col. 1, lines 67; col. 2, lines 1-26) and individual user information (profile) that is generated for respective users (see claim 14, user predefined criteria, preferences).

Claims 24, 26, 27, 37, 39 and 40:

See claim 14. Odom teaches that the interactive provider server is a betting service, that the client responses comprise bets or betting information, and pertinent information relating to teams upon which the client has made bets (col. 10, lines 36-59; col. 11, lines 10-18; col. 12, lines 4-14, 54-67; col. 13, lines 1-15).

Claims 25 and 38:

See claim 24. Odom teaches information comprising current information regarding prospective wagers (col. 13, lines 15-42).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 14-27, 37-40 and 49-55 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the draft amendment sent to the examiner on March 21, and also including the examiner's suggested changes. Applicant is urged to contact the Examiner for an interview to discuss necessary changes to place the application in better form for allowance.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to X. L. Bautista whose telephone number is (571) 272-4132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/X. L. Bautista/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179

25 March 2008